Public Document Pack



ADDITIONAL / TO FOLLOW AGENDA ITEMS

This is a supplement to the original agenda and includes reports that are additional to the original agenda or which were marked 'to follow'.

NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE

Date: Wednesday, 18 May 2016

Time: 2.30 pm

Place: Ground Floor Committee Room - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG

Governance Officer: Catherine Ziane-Pryor Direct Dial: 0115 8764298

AGENDA		Pages
7	PLANNING COMMITTEE UPDATE SHEET	3 - 4

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING COMMITTEE

UPDATE SHEET

(List of additional information, amendments and changes to items since publication of the agenda)

18 May 2016

4a Lidl Store, Carlton Road

- 1. Revised highway drawings have now been received. Highways officers have confirmed that these are now broadly acceptable, subject to further detailed design work and S278 agreement.
- 2. A query has been raised about location of bus stops in the vicinity of the development.

The nearest bus stops to the site are located eastbound on Carlton Road, just to the east of the former Seymour Street junction and westbound, between Worksop Road and St Cuthbert's Road. The proposed highway reconfiguration now leaves the westbound stop unchanged, and relocates the eastbound stop approximately 17m to the east. It is considered that these are both convenient for access to the new store.

3. A query has also been raised about whether it may be appropriate to locate a bus stop in the section of the road where the bus lane would be removed. This would have the effect of forcing following vehicles to a stop whilst passengers enter and exit the bus and could facilitate easier bus flow.

Highways have commented that it is preferable in safety terms that refuges do not obstruct following traffic at bus stops because vehicles tend to overtake on the wrong side of the road. There is merit in siting a refuge just behind bus stops, which squeezes traffic, slowing following vehicles when overtaking. However, the refuge is required for pedestrians so needs to be in a specific location for this and precludes siting to slow overtaking vehicles. It is unlikely that the final configuration of the proposed junction will allow for this option in this location. This page is intentionally left blank